

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/077,081		02/15/2002	Michael D. Jordan	B01-74	5234	
40990	7590	03/16/2006	EXAMINER			
ACUSHNE	T COM	PANY	GORDON, RAEANN			
333 BRIDGI	E STREE	T				
P. O. BOX 9	65		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FAIRHAVE	N. MA	02719	3711			

DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary									
)81 	JORDAN, MICHAEL D.					
	omec Action Gammary	Examine		Art Unit					
	The MAU INC DATE of this community	Raeann		3711					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	icauon appears on tr	ie cover sneet with th	e correspondence address	;				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm or period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 or period for reply is specified above, the maximum state or to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no enunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be attatory minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS findication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communi NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 27 February 20	006.						
		2b)⊠ This action is		•					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	on of Claims		· · · .						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-3,7-13,15-17,35-39,43-46</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,3,64 and</u> Claim(s) <u>1,7-13,15-17,36-39,44-50,5</u> Claim(s) <u>35 and 43</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>36-39,44-46 and 48-50</u> is/a Claim(s) are subject to restrict	1 <u>68-73</u> is/are withdra 54,57,59,60,62,63 ar are objected to.	awn from consideration and 65 is/are allowed.		iion.				
Applicati	on Papers								
10)□	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or bection to the drawing(s) the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. Street if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•					
·12)□ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office actions.	documents have been documents have been of the priority documnal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applic ents have been rece le 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	.				
Attachmen			. □	(DTO 442)					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/077,081

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

After further consideration the final office action is withdrawn.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 35 and 43 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 7,004,855. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the present invention and the '855 patent claims golf balls comprising a core, a water vapor layer having a non-ionomeric material having a melt flow index of greater than 300 grams/10 minutes, and cover.

Art Unit: 3711

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is 571-272-4409. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs, Fri 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rg March 13, 2006

> RAEANN GORDEN PRIMARY EXAMINER